# REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE SIMPSON COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Period September 21, 2010 Through December 31, 2010



# CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

209 ST. CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841 FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE SIMPSON COUNTY SHERIFF

#### For The Period September 21, 2010 Through December 31, 2010

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the Simpson County Sheriff's audit for the period September 21, 2010 through December 31, 2010. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues, expenditures, and excess fees in conformity with the regulatory basis of accounting.

#### **Financial Condition:**

The Simpson County Sheriff had excess fees of \$288,608 for the period September 21, 2010 through December 31, 2010.

#### **Report Comment:**

2010-01 The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties Over Cash Receipts And Bank Reconciliations

#### **Deposits:**

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities.

CONTENTS	F	PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS	3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	5
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	11
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	15



### CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Jim Henderson, Simpson County Judge/Executive The Honorable Chris Cline, Simpson County Sheriff Members of the Simpson County Fiscal Court

#### **Independent Auditor's Report**

We have audited the accompanying statement of revenues, expenditures, and excess fees regulatory basis of the Sheriff of Simpson County, Kentucky, for the period September 21, 2010 through December 31, 2010. This financial statement is the responsibility of the Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the <u>Audit Guide for County Fee Officials</u> issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a regulatory basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues, expenditures, and excess fees of the Sheriff for the period September 21, 2010 through December 31, 2010, in conformity with the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1.

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated April 5, 2011 on our consideration of the Simpson County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.



The Honorable Jim Henderson, Simpson County Judge/Executive The Honorable Chris Cline, Simpson County Sheriff Members of the Simpson County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

2010-01 The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties Over Cash Receipts And Bank Reconciliations

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Sheriff and Fiscal Court of Simpson County, Kentucky, and the Commonwealth of Kentucky and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these interested parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

**Auditor of Public Accounts** 

April 5, 2011

#### SIMPSON COUNTY CHRIS CLINE, SHERIFF STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS

For The Period September 21, 2010 Through December 31, 2010

#### Revenues

Federal Grants		\$ 827
State - Kentucky Law Enforcement Foundation Program Fund (KLEFPF)		10,399
State Fees For Services: Finance and Administration Cabinet Sheriff Security Service	\$ 20,561 3,450	24,011
Circuit Court Clerk: Fines and Fees Collected		322
Fiscal Court		19,726
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes		205
Commission On Taxes Collected		229,254
Fees Collected For Services: Auto Inspections Serving Papers Transporting Prisoners For Lifeskills Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits	2,110 19,470 139 1,000	22,719
Other: Miscellaneous		521
Interest Earned		39
Total Revenues		308,023

#### SIMPSON COUNTY

#### CHRIS CLINE, SHERIFF

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS For The Period September 21, 2010 Through December 31, 2010 (Continued)

#### **Expenditures**

Operating Expenditures:  Materials and Supplies- Office Materials and Supplies Other Charges- Miscellaneous	\$ 54 335	
Total Expenditures		\$ 389
Net Revenues Less: Statutory Maximum		307,634 19,026
Excess Fees Payments to Fiscal Court - Monthly		 288,608 287,908
Balance Due Fiscal Court at Completion of Audit		\$ 700

<sup>\*</sup> A Check Was Written To The County Treasurer On March 16, 2011 for \$700.

### SIMPSON COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 2010

#### Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of revenues over expenditures to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

#### B. Basis of Accounting

KRS 64.820 directs the fiscal court to collect any amount, including excess fees, due from the Sheriff as determined by the audit. KRS 134.310 requires the Sheriff to settle excess fees with the fiscal court at the time he files his final settlement with the fiscal court.

The financial statement has been prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Under this regulatory basis of accounting revenues and expenditures are generally recognized when cash is received or disbursed with the exception of accrual of the following items (not all-inclusive) at December 31 that may be included in the excess fees calculation:

- Interest receivable
- Collection on accounts due from others for 2010 services
- Reimbursements for 2010 activities
- Tax commissions due from December tax collections
- Payments due other governmental entities for payroll
- Payments due vendors for goods or services provided in 2010

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year.

#### C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

SIMPSON COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2010 (Continued)

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

#### D. Fee Pooling

The Simpson County Sheriff participates in a fee pooling system with the Fiscal Court. Fee officials who are required to participate in fee pooling deposit all funds collected into their official operating account. The fee official is responsible for paying all amounts due to the taxing districts. Residual funds are then paid to the County Treasurer on a monthly basis. Invoices are submitted to the County Treasurer to document operating expenses. The County Treasurer pays almost all operating expenses for the fee official.

#### Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county officials and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems. This is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined benefit pension plan that covers all eligible full-time employees and provides for retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute.

Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5.0 percent of their salary to the plan. Nonhazardous covered employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008 are required to contribute 6 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 16.16 percent for the first six months and 16.93 percent for the last six months.

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Nonhazardous employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008 must met the rule of 87 (members age plus years of service credit must equal 87, and the member must be a minimum of 57 years of age) or the member is age 65, with a minimum of 60 months service credit.

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is presented in the Kentucky Retirement Systems' annual financial report which is a matter of public record. This report may be obtained by writing the Kentucky Retirement Systems, 1260 Louisville Road, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-6124, or by telephone at (502) 564-4646.

SIMPSON COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2010 (Continued)

#### Note 3. Deposits

The Simpson County Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

#### Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the Sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The Simpson County Sheriff does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather follows the requirements of KRS 41.240(4). As of December 31, 2010, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement.

#### Note 4. Drug Account

The Simpson County Sheriff's office maintains a Drug Account that is used solely for the purpose of drug enforcement. The drug balance as of September 20, 2010 of \$41 was transferred from the former Simpson County Sheriff on November 5, 2010. Expenditures totaled \$12. The total fund balance was \$29 as of December 31, 2010.

#### Note 5. Donation Account

The Simpson County Sheriff's office maintains a Donation Account. The donation balance as of September 20, 2010 of \$687 was transferred from the former Simpson County Sheriff on November 5, 2010. Receipts totaled \$596 and expenditures totaled \$512. The total fund balance was \$771 as of December 31, 2010.

#### Note 6. Resignation Of Former Sheriff

R.E. Starks resigned as Sheriff on September 20, 2010. Chris Cline, Sheriff-elect was appointed by the Simpson County Fiscal Court as Sheriff as of September 21, 2010.



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



## CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Jim Henderson, Simpson County Judge/Executive The Honorable Chris Cline, Simpson County Sheriff Members of the Simpson County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the statement of revenues, expenditures, and excess fees - regulatory basis of the Simpson County Sheriff for the period September 21, 2010 through December 31, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated April 5, 2011. The Sheriff's financial statement is prepared in accordance with a basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Simpson County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as described in the accompanying comment and recommendation, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a material.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying comment and recommendation as item 2010-01 to be a material weakness.



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

#### **Compliance And Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Simpson County Sheriff's financial statement for the period September 21, 2010 through December 31, 2010, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Simpson County Fiscal Court, and the Department for Local Government and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

**Auditor of Public Accounts** 

April 5, 2011



#### SIMPSON COUNTY CHRIS CLINE, SHERIFF COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

For The Period September 21, 2010 Through December 31, 2010

#### INTERNAL CONTROL - MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

#### 2010-01 <u>The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties Over Cash Receipts And</u> Bank Reconciliations

During our review of internal controls, we noted the following control deficiency pursuant to professional auditing standards. The Sheriff's office lacks adequate segregation of duties over cash receipts and bank reconciliations.

The Sheriff's Bookkeeper collects monies from customers, prepares the deposits, prepares daily cash checkouts, posts to the receipts and disbursements ledgers, prepares bank reconciliations, and prepares financial reports. The Sheriff should implement compensating controls to offset the internal control weakness. The following are suggested compensating controls:

- Someone other than the Bookkeeper should periodically prepare the bank deposits. This could be documented by the individual initialing the bank deposit.
- Someone other than the Bookkeeper should periodically compare a daily bank deposit to the daily checkout sheet and then compare the daily checkout sheet to the receipts ledger. Any differences should be reconciled. This could be documented by the individual initialing the bank deposit, daily deposit, and receipts ledger.
- Someone other than the Bookkeeper should compare the quarterly financial report to receipts ledger for accuracy. Any differences should be reconciled. This could be documented by the individual initialing the quarterly financial report.
- Someone other than the Bookkeeper should periodically compare the bank reconciliation to the balance in the checkbook. Any differences should be reconciled. This could be documented by the individual initialing the bank reconciliation and the balance in the checkbook.
- Someone other than the Bookkeeper should periodically prepare the bank reconciliation. This could be documented by the individual initialing the bank reconciliation.

Sheriff's Response: No Comment.